PAPER –1: FINANCIAL REPORTING

QUESTIONS

Ind AS 2

1. The following is relevant information for an entity :

   - Full capacity is 10,000 labour hours in a year.
   - Normal capacity is 7,500 labour hours in a year.
   - Actual labour hours for current period are 6,500 hours.
   - Total fixed production overhead is ₹ 1,500.
   - Total variable production overhead is ₹ 2,600.
   - Total opening inventory is 2,500 units.
   - Total units produced in a year are 6,500 units.
   - Total units sold in a year are 6,700 units.
   - The cost of inventories is assigned by using FIFO cost formula.

   How overhead costs are to be allocated to cost of goods sold and closing inventory?

Ind AS 7

2. Entity A acquired a subsidiary, Entity B, during the year. Summarised information from the Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss and Balance Sheet is provided, together with some supplementary information.

Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss	**Amount (₹)**
Revenue	3,80,000
Cost of sales	(2,20,000)
Gross profit	1,60,000
Depreciation	(30,000)
Other operating expenses	(56,000)
Interest cost	(4,000)
Profit before taxation	**70,000**
Taxation	(15,000)
Profit after taxation	**55,000**

Consolidated balance sheet	20X2	20X1
Assets	**Amount (₹)**	**Amount (₹)**
Cash and cash equivalents	8,000	5,000
Trade receivables	54,000	50,000
Inventories	30,000	35,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,60,000	80,000
Goodwill	18,000	—
Total assets	**2,70,000**	**1,70,000**
Liabilities		
Trade payables	68,000	60,000
Income tax payable	12,000	11,000
Long term debt	1,00,000	64,000
Total liabilities	**1,80,000**	**1,35,000**
Shareholders' equity	**90,000**	**35,000**
Total liabilities and shareholders'	**2,70,000**	**1,70,000**

Other information

All of the shares of entity B were acquired for ₹ 74,000 in cash. The fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed were:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Inventories	4,000
Trade receivables	8,000
Cash	2,000
Property, plant and equipment	1,10,000
Trade payables	(32,000)
Long term debt	(36,000)
Goodwill	18,000
Cash consideration paid	**74,000**

Prepare the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year 20X2, as per Ind AS 7.

Ind AS 10 and Ind AS 37

3. A company manufacturing and supplying process control equipment is entitled to duty draw back if it exceeds its turnover above a specified limit. To claim duty drawback, the company needs to file application within 15 days of meeting the specified turnover. If application is not filed within stipulated time, the Department has discretionary power of giving duty draw back credit. For the year 20X1-20X2 the company has exceeded the specified limit of turnover by the end of the reporting period. However, duty drawback can be claimed on filing of application within the stipulated time or on discretion of the Department if filing of application is late. The application for duty drawback is filed on April 20, 20X2, which is after the stipulated time of 15 days of meeting the turnover condition. Duty drawback has been credited by the Department on June 28, 20X2 and financial statements have been approved by the Board of Directors of the company on July 26, 20X2. What would be the treatment of duty drawback credit as per the given information?

Ind AS 16

4. Company X performed a revaluation of all of its plant and machinery at the beginning of 20X1. The following information relates to one of the machinery:

	Amount (‘000)
Gross carrying amount	₹ 200
Accumulated depreciation (straight-line method)	(₹ 80)
Net carrying amount	₹ 120
Fair value	₹ 150

The useful life of the machinery is 10 years and the company uses Straight line method of depreciation. The revaluation was performed at the end of 4 years.

How should the Company account for revaluation of plant and machinery and depreciation subsequent to revaluation? Support your answer with journal entries.

Ind AS 28

5. An entity P (parent) has two wholly-owned subsidiaries - X and Y, each of which has an ownership interest in an 'associate', entity Z. Subsidiary X is a venture capital organisation. Neither of the investments held in associate Z by subsidiaries X and Y is held for trading. Subsidiary X and Y account for their investment in associate Z at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 and using the equity method in accordance with Ind AS 28 respectively.

How should P account for the investment in associate Z in the following scenarios:

Scenario 1: Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 25% and 20% respectively.

Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 10% each.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 30% and 10% respectively.

Assume there is significant influence if the entity has 20% or more voting rights.

Ind AS 37

6. Entity XYZ entered into a contract to supply 1000 television sets for ₹ 2 million. An increase in the cost of inputs has resulted into an increase in the cost of sales to ₹ 2.5 million. The penalty for non- performance of the contract is expected to be ₹ 0.25 million. Is the contract onerous and how much provision in this regard is required?

Ind AS 108

7. ABC Limited has 5 operating segments namely A, B, C, D and E. The profit/ loss of respective segments for the year ended March 31, 20X1 are as follows:

Segment	**Profit/(Loss) (₹ in crore)**
A	780
B	1,500
C	(2,300)
D	(4,500)
E	6,000
Total	**1,480**

Based on the quantitative thresholds, which of the above segments A to E would be considered as reportable segments for the year ending March 31, 20X1?

Ind AS 111

8. AB Limited and BC Limited establish a joint arrangement through a separate vehicle PQR, but the legal form of the separate vehicle does not confer separation between the parties and the separate vehicle itself. Thus, both the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of PQR. As neither the contractual terms nor the other facts and circumstances indicate otherwise, it is concluded that the arrangement is a joint operation and not a joint venture.

Both the parties own 50% each of the equity interest in PQR. However, the contractual terms of the joint arrangement state that AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1 owned by PQR and the obligation to pay all of the debt owed by PQR to a lender XYZ. AB Limited and BC Limited have rights to all other assets in PQR, and obligations for all other liabilities of PQR in proportion of their equity interests (i.e. 50% each).

PQR's summarized balance sheet is as follows: (Rs. in crore)

	Amount
Building 1	240
Building 2	200
Cash	40
Total Assets	**480**
Equity	140
Debt owed to XYZ	240
Employee benefit plan obligation	100
Total Liabilities	**480**

How would AB Limited present its interest in PQR in its financial statements?

Ind AS 36

9. PQR Ltd. is the company which has performed well in the past but one of its major assets, an item of equipment, suffered a significant and unexpected deterioration in performance. Management expects to use the machine for a further four years after 31st March 20X6, but at a reduced level. The equipment will be scrapped after four years. The financial accountant for PQR Ltd. has produced a set of cash-flow projections for the equipment for the next four years, ranging from optimistic to pessimistic. CFO thought that the projections were too conservative, and he intended to use the highest figures each year. These were as follows:

	₹ '000
Year ended 31st March 20X7	276
Year ended 31st March 20X8	192
Year ended 31st March 20X9	120
Year ended 31st March 20Y0	114

The above cash inflows should be assumed to occur on the last day of each financial year. The pre-tax discount rate is 9%. The machine could have been sold at 31st March 20X6 for ₹ 6,00,000 and related selling expenses in this regard could have been ₹ 96,000. The machine had been re valued previously, and at 31st March 20X6 an amount of ₹ 36,000 was held in revaluation surplus in respect of the asset. The carrying value of the asset at

31st March 20X6 was ₹ 660,000. The Indian government has indicated that it may compensate the company for any loss in value of the assets up to its recoverable amount.

Calculate impairment loss, if any and revised depreciation of asset. Also suggest how Impairment loss, if any would be set off and how compensation from government be accounted for?

Ind AS 32

10. XYZ issued ₹ 4,80,000 4% redeemable preference shares on 1st April 20X5 at par. Interest is paid annually in arrears, the first payment of interest amounting ₹ 19,200 was made on 31st March 20X6 and it is debited directly to retained earnings by accountant. The preference shares are redeemable for a cash amount of ₹ 7,20,000 on 31st March 20X8. The effective rate of interest on the redeemable preference shares is 18% per annum. The proceeds of the issue have been recorded within equity by accountant as this reflects the legal nature of the shares. Board of directors intends to issue new equity shares over the next two years to build up cash resources to redeem the preference shares.

    Mukesh, Accounts manager of XYZ has been told to review the accounting of aforesaid issue. CFO has asked from Mukesh the closing balance of preference shares at the year end. If you were Mukesh, then how much balance you would have shown to CFO on analysis of the stated issue. Prepare necessary adjusting journal entry in the books of account, if required.

Ind AS 38 / Ind AS 36

11. One of the senior engineers at XYZ has been working on a process to improve manufacturing efficiency and, consequently, reduce manufacturing costs. This is a major project and has the full support of XYZ's board of directors. The senior engineer believes that the cost reductions will exceed the project costs within twenty four months of their implementation. Regulatory testing and health and safety approval was obtained on 1 June 20X5. This removed uncertainties concerning the project, which was finally completed on 20 April 20X6. Costs of ₹ 18,00,000, incurred during the year till 31st March 20X6, have been recognized as an intangible asset. An offer of ₹ 7,80,000 for the new developed technology has been received by potential buyer but it has been rejected by XYZ. Utkarsh believes that the project will be a major success and has the potential to save the company ₹ 12,00,000 in perpetuity. Director of research at XYZ, Neha, who is a qualified electronic engineer, is seriously concerned about the long term prospects of the new process and she is of the opinion that competitors would have developed new technology at some time which would require to replace the new process within four years. She estimates that the present value of future cost savings will be ₹ 9,60,000 over this period. After that, she thinks that there is no certainty about its future. What would be the appropriate accounting treatment of aforesaid issue?

Ind AS 19

12. On 1 April 20X1, the fair value of the assets of XYZ Ltd's defined benefit plan were valued at ₹ 20,40,000 and the present value of the defined obligation was ₹ 21,25,000. On 31st March,20X2 the plan received contributions from XYZ Ltd amounting to ₹ 4,25,000 and paid out benefits of ₹ 2,55,000. The current service cost for the financial year ending 31 March 20X2 is ₹ 5,10,000. An interest rate of 5% is to be applied to the plan assets and obligations. The fair value of the plan's assets at 31 March 20X2 was ₹ 23,80,000, and the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ₹ 27,20,000. Provide a reconciliation from the opening balance to the closing balance for Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation. Also show how much amount should be recognised in the statement of profit and loss, other comprehensive income and balance sheet?

Ind AS 20

13. Rainbow Limited is carrying out various projects for which the company has either received government financial assistance or is in the process of receiving the same. The company has received two grants of ₹ 1,00,000 each, relating to the following ongoing research and development projects:

    (i) The first grant relates to the "Clean river project" which involves research into the effect of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh. However, no major steps have been completed by Rainbow limited to commence this research as at 31st march, 20X2.

    (ii) The second grant relates to the commercial development of a new equipment that can be used to manufacture eco-friendly substitutes for existing plastic products. Rainbow Limited is confident about the technical feasibility and financial viability of this new technology which will be available for sale in the market by April 20X3.

    In September 20X1, due to the floods near one of its factories, the entire production was lost and Rainbow Limited had to shut down the factory for a period of 3 months. The State Government announced a compensation package for all the manufacturing entities affected due to the floods. As per the scheme, Rainbow Limited is entitled to a compensation based on the average of previous three months' sales figure prior to the floods, for which the company is required to submit an application form on or before 30th June, 20X2 with necessary figures. The financial statements of Rainbow Limited are to be adopted on 31st May, 20X2, by which date the claim form would not have been filed with the State Government.

    Suggest the accounting treatment of, if any, for the two grants received and the flood-related compensation in the books of accounts of Rainbow Limited as on 31st March, 20X2.

Ind AS 110

14. Gamma Limited, a parent company, is engaged in manufacturing and retail activities. The group holds investments in different entities as follows:

- Gamma Limited holds 100% Investment in G Limited and D Limited;
- G Limited and D Limited hold 60% and 40% in GD Limited respectively;
- Delta Limited is a 100% subsidiary of GD Limited

Firstly, Gamma Limited wants you to suggest whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements as per applicable Ind AS?

Secondly, if all other facts remain the same as above except that G Limited and D Limited are both owned by an Individual (say, Mr. X) instead of Gamma Limited, then explain whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements.

Ind AS 33

15. CAB Limited is in the process of preparation of the consolidated financial statements of the group for the year ending 31st March, 20X3 and the extract of the same is as follows:

Particulars	Attributable to CAB Limited	Non-controlling interest	Total (₹ in '000)
Profit for the year	39,000	3,000	42,000
Other Comprehensive Income	5,000	Nil	5,000
Total Comprehensive Income	44,000	3,000	47,000

The long-term finance of the company comprises of the following:

(i) 20,00,00,000 equity shares at the beginning of the year and the company has issued 5,00,00,000 shares on 1st July, 20X2 at full market value.

(ii) 8,00,00,000 irredeemable preference shares. These shares were in issue for the whole of the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The dividend on these preference shares is discretionary.

(iii) ₹ 18 crores of 6% convertible debentures issued on 1st April, 20X1 and repayable on 31st March, 20X5 at par. Interest is payable annually. As an alternative to repayment at par, the holder on maturity can elect to exchange their convertible debentures for 10 crores ordinary shares in the company. On 1st April, 20X1, the prevailing market interest rate for four-year convertible debentures which had no right of conversion was 8%. Using an annual discount rate of 8%, the present value of ₹ 1 payable in four years is 0.74 and the cumulative present value of ₹ 1 payable at the end of years one to four is 3.31.

In the year ended 31st March, 20X3, CAB Limited declared an ordinary dividend of 0.10 paise per share and a dividend of 0.05 paise per share on the irredeemable preference shares.

Compute the following:

- the finance cost of convertible debentures and its closing balance as on 31st March, 20X3 to be presented in the consolidated financial statements.
- the basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31st March, 20X3.

Assume that income tax is applicable to CAB Limited and its subsidiaries at 25%.

Ind AS 8

16. While preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, Alpha Limited has observed two issues in the previous year Ind AS financial statements (i.e. 31st March, 20X2) which are as follows:

Issue 1:

The company had presented certain material liabilities as non-current in its financial statements for periods as on 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, management discovers that these liabilities should have been classified as current. The management intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented (i.e., as at 31st March, 20X2).

Issue 2:

The company had charged off certain expenses as finance costs in the year ended 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, it was discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March, 20X2).

What is your analysis and recommendation in respect of the issues noted with the previously presented set of financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2?

Ind AS 21

17. On 1st April, 20X1, Makers Ltd. raised a long term loan from foreign investors. The investors subscribed for 6 million Foreign Currency (FCY) loan notes at par. It incurred incremental issue costs of FCY 2,00,000. Interest of FCY 6,00,000 is payable annually on 31st March, starting from 31st March, 20X2. The loan is repayable in FCY on 31st March, 20X7 at a premium and the effective annual interest rate implicit in the loan is 12%. The appropriate measurement basis for this loan is amortised cost. Relevant exchange rates are as follows:

- 1st April, 20X1 - FCY 1 = ₹ 2.50.

- 31st March, 20X2 – FCY 1 = ₹ 2.75.
- Average rate for the year ended 31st Match, 20X2 – FCY 1 = ₹ 2.42. The functional currency of the group is Indian Rupee.

What would be the appropriate accounting treatment for the foreign currency loan in the books of Makers Ltd. for the FY 20X1-20X2? Calculate the initial measurement amount for the loan, finance cost for the year, closing balance and exchange gain / loss.

Ind AS 115

18. (a) Entity I sells a piece of machinery to the customer for ₹ 2 million, payable in 90 days. Entity I is aware at contract inception that the customer might not pay the full contract price. Entity I estimates that the customer will pay atleast ₹ 1.75 million, which is sufficient to cover entity I's cost of sales (₹ 1.5 million) and which entity I is willing to accept because it wants to grow its presence in this market. Entity I has granted similar price concessions in comparable contracts.

Entity I concludes that it is highly probable that it will collect ₹ 1.75 million, and such amount is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance.

What is the transaction price in this arrangement?

(b) On 1 January 20x8, entity J enters into a one-year contract with a customer to deliver water treatment chemicals. The contract stipulates that the price per container will be adjusted retroactively once the customer reaches certain sales volume, defined, as follows:

Price per container	Cumulative sales volume
₹ 100	1 - 1,000,000 containers
₹ 90	1,000,001 - 3,000,000 containers
₹ 85	3,000,001 containers and above

Volume is determined based on sales during the calendar year. There are no minimum purchase requirements. Entity J estimates that the total sales volume for the year will be 2.8 million containers, based on its experience with similar contracts and forecasted sales to the customer.

Entity J sells 700,000 containers to the customer during the first quarter ended 31 March 20X8 for a contract price of ₹ 100 per container.

How should entity J determine the transaction price?

(c) Entity K sells electric razors to retailers for C 50 per unit. A rebate coupon is included inside the electric razor package that can be redeemed by the end consumers for C 10 per unit.

Entity K estimates that 20% to 25% of eligible rebates will be redeemed, based on its experience with similar programmes and rebate redemption rates available in the market for similar programmes. Entity K concludes that the transaction price should incorporate an assumption of 25% rebate redemption, as this is the amount for which it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates of the rebates change.

How should entity K determine the transaction price?

(d) A manufacturer enters into a contract to sell goods to a retailer for ₹ 1,000. The manufacturer also offers price protection, whereby it will reimburse the retailer for any difference between the sale price and the lowest price offered to any customer during the following six months. This clause is consistent with other price protection clauses offered in the past, and the manufacturer believes that it has experience which is predictive for this contract.

Management expects that it will offer a price decrease of 5% during the price protection period. Management concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates change.

How should the manufacturer determine the transaction price?

Ind AS 102

19. An entity which follows its financial year as per the calendar year grants 1,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) to each of its 40 management employees as on 1st January 20X5. The SARs provide the employees with the right to receive (at the date when the rights are exercised) cash equal to the appreciation in the entity's share price since the grant date. All of the rights vest on 31st December 20X6; and they can be exercised during 20X7 and 20X8. Management estimates that, at grant date, the fair value of each SAR is ₹ 11; and it estimates that overall 10% of the employees will leave during the two-year period. The fair values of the SARs at each year end are shown below:

Year	Fair value at year end
31 December 20X5	12
31 December 20X6	8
31 December 20X7	13
31 December 20X8	12

10% of employees left before the end of 20X6. On 31st December 20X7 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was ₹ 10), six employees exercised their options; and the remaining 30 employees exercised their options at the end of 20X8 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was equal to the fair value of ₹ 12).

How much expense and liability is to be recognized at the end of each year? Pass Journal entries.

Ind AS 101

20. On April 1, 20X1, Sigma Ltd. issued 30,000 6% convertible debentures of face value of ₹ 100 per debenture at par. The debentures are redeemable at a premium of 10% on March 31, 20X5 or these may be converted into ordinary shares at the option of the holder. The interest rate for equivalent debentures without conversion rights would have been 10%. The date of transition to Ind AS is April 1, 20X3.

    Suggest how should Sigma Ltd. account for this compound financial instrument on the date of transition.

    The present value of Re. 1 receivable at the end of each year based on discount rates of 6% and 10% can be taken as:

End of year	6%	10%
1	0.94	0.91
2	0.89	0.83
3	0.84	0.75
4	0.79	0.68

SUGGESTED ANSWERS/HINTS

1. **Hours taken to produce 1 unit** = 6,500 hours / 6,500 units = 1 hour per unit.

    Fixed production overhead absorption rate:

    = Fixed production overhead / labour hours for normal capacity

    = ₹ 1,500 / 7,500

    = ₹ 0.2 per hour

    Management should allocate fixed overhead costs to units produced at a rate of ₹ 0.2 per hour.

    Therefore, fixed production overhead allocated to 6,500 units produced during the year (one unit per hour) = 6,500 units x1 hour x ₹ 0.2 = ₹ 1,300.

    The remaining fixed overhead incurred during the year of ₹ 200 (₹ 1500 – ₹ 1300) that remains unallocated is recognised as an expense.

    The amount of fixed overhead allocated to inventory is not increased as a result of low production by using normal capacity to allocate fixed overhead.

    Variable production overhead absorption rate:

    = Variable production overhead/actual hours for current period

    = ₹ 2,600 / 6,500 hours = ₹ 0.4 per hour

Management should allocate variable overhead costs to units produced at a rate of ₹ 0.4 per hour.

The above rate results in the allocation of all variable overheads to units produced during the year.

Closing inventory = Opening inventory + Units produced during year – Units sold during year

= 2,500 + 6,500 – 6,700 = 2,300 units

As each unit has taken one hour to produce (6,500 hours / 6,500 units produced), total fixed and variable production overhead recognised as part of cost of inventory:

= Number of units of closing inventory x Number of hours to produce each unit x (Fixed production overhead absorption rate + Variable production overhead absorption rate)
= 2,300 units x 1 hour x (₹ 0.2 + ₹ 0.4) = **₹ 1,380**

The remaining ₹ 2,720 [(₹ 1,500 + ₹ 2,600) – ₹ 1,380] is recognised as an expense in the income statement as follows:

	₹
Absorbed in cost of goods sold (FIFO basis) (6,500 – 2,300) = 4,200 x ₹ 0.6	2,520
Unabsorbed fixed overheads, not included in the cost of goods sold	200
Total	**2,720**

2. This information will be incorporated into the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as follows:

Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 20X2 (extract)

	Amount (₹)	**Amount (₹)**
Cash flows from operating activities		
Profit before taxation	70,000	
Adjustments for non-cash items:		
Depreciation	30,000	
Decrease in inventories (W.N. 1)	9,000	
Decrease in trade receivables (W.N. 2)	4,000	
Decrease in trade payables (W.N. 3)	(24,000)	
Interest paid to be included in financing activities	4,000	
Taxation (11,000 + 15,000 – 12,000)	(14,000)	
Net cash generated from operating activities		79,000

Cash flows from investing activities		
Cash paid to acquire subsidiary (74,000 – 2,000)	(72,000)	
Net cash outflow from investing activities		(72,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Interest paid	(4,000)	
Net cash outflow from financing activities		(4,000)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents during the year		**3,000**
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		**5,000**
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year		**8,000**

Working Notes:

1. Calculation of change in inventory during the year

	₹
Total inventories of the Group at the end of the year	30,000
Inventories acquired during the year from subsidiary	(4,000)
	26,000
Opening inventories	35,000
Decrease in inventories	9,000

2. Calculation of change in Trade Receivables during the year

	₹
Total trade receivables of the Group at the end of the year	54,000
Trade receivables acquired during the year from subsidiary	(8,000)
	46,000
Opening trade receivables	50,000
Decrease in trade receivables	4,000

3. Calculation of change in Trade Payables during the year

	₹
Trade payables at the end of the year	68,000
Trade payables of the subsidiary assumed during the year	(32,000)
	36,000
Opening trade payables	60,000
Decrease in trade payables	24,000

3. In the instant case, the condition of exceeding the specified turnover was met at the end of the reporting period and the company was entitled for the duty drawback. However, the application for the same has been filed after the stipulated time. Therefore, credit of duty drawback was discretionary in the hands of the Department. Since the claim was to be accrued only after filing of application, its accrual will be considered in the year 20X2-20X3 only.

Accordingly, the duty drawback credit is a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period 20X1-20X2, which will be realised when the Department credits the same.

As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets*, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, the asset and the related income are recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

In accordance with the above, the duty drawback credit which was contingent asset for the F.Y. 20X1 -20X2 should be recognised as asset and related income should be recognized in the reporting period in which the change occurs. i.e., in the period in which realisation becomes virtually certain, i.e., F.Y. 20X2 - 20X3.

4. According to paragraph 35 of Ind AS 16, when an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the carrying amount of that asset is adjusted to the revalued amount. At the date of the revaluation, the asset is treated in one of the following ways:

(a) The gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount of the asset. For example, the gross carrying amount may be restated by reference to observable market data or it may be restated proportionately to the change in the carrying amount. The accumulated depreciation at the date of the revaluation is adjusted to equal the difference between the gross carrying amount and the carrying amount of the asset after taking into account accumulated impairment losses.

In such a situation, the revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as follows:

Gross carrying amount	₹ 250	[(200/120) x 150]
Net carrying amount	₹ 150	
Accumulated depreciation	₹ 100	(₹ 250 – ₹ 150)

Journal entry

Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Dr.	₹ 50		
To Accumulated Depreciation		₹ 20	
To Revaluation Reserve		₹ 30	

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the Gross Block has been restated, the depreciation charge will be ₹ 25 per annum (₹ 250/10 years).

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 25 p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 25 p.a.

(b) The accumulated depreciation is eliminated against the gross carrying amount of the asset.

The amount of the adjustment of accumulated depreciation forms part of the increase or decrease in carrying amount that is accounted for in accordance with the paragraphs 39 and 40 of Ind AS 16.

In this case, the gross carrying amount is restated to ₹ 150 to reflect the fair value and accumulated depreciation is set at zero.

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 80	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 80
Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)	Dr.	₹ 30	
To Revaluation Reserve			₹ 30

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the revalued amount is the revised gross block, the useful life to be considered is the remaining useful life of the asset which results in the same depreciation charge of ₹ 25 per annum as per Option A (₹ 150 / 6 years).

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 25 p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 25 p.a.

5. Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 28 states that, "when an investment in an associate or a joint venture is held by, or is held indirectly through, an entity that is a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investment-linked insurance funds, the entity may elect to measure investments in those associates and joint ventures at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109. An entity shall make this election separately for each associate or joint venture, at initial recognition of the associate or joint venture."

Paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28 provides that, "'when an entity has an investment in an associate, a portion of which is held indirectly through a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investment-linked insurance funds, the entity *may* elect to measure that portion of the investment in the associate at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 *regardless of whether the venture capital organisation has significant influence over that portion of the investment.*

If the entity makes that election, the entity shall apply the equity method to any remaining portion of its investment in an associate that is not held through a venture capital organisation".

Therefore, fair value exemption can be applied partially in such cases.

Scenario 1: Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis.



In the present case, in accordance with paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 20% interest held by Y.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 25% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis.



In the present case in accordance with the paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 10% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis



In the present case, in accordance with paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, the P may elect to measure the 30% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

6. Ind AS 37 *"Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets"* defines an onerous contract as "a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it".

Paragraph 68 of Ind AS 37 states that "the unavoidable costs under a contract reflect the least net cost of exiting from the contract, which is the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it".

In the instant case, cost of fulfilling the contract is ₹ 0.5 million (₹ 2.5 million – ₹ 2 million) and cost of exiting from the contract by paying penalty is ₹ 0.25 million.

In accordance with the above reproduced paragraph, it is an onerous contract as cost of meeting the contract exceeds the economic benefits.

Therefore, the provision should be recognised at the best estimate of the unavoidable cost, which is lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it, i.e., at ₹ 0.25 million (lower of ₹ 0.25 million and ₹ 0.5 million).

7. With regard to quantitative thresholds to determine reportable segment relevant in context of instant case, paragraph 13(b) of Ind AS 108 may be noted which provides as follows:

"The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of (i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss and (ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss."

In compliance with Ind AS 108, the segment profit/loss of respective segment will be compared with the greater of the following:

(i) All segments in profit, i.e., A, B and E – Total profit ₹ 8,280 crores.

(ii) All segments in loss, i.e., C and D – Total loss ₹ 6,800 crores.

Greater of the above – ₹ 8,280 crores.

Based on the above, reportable segments will be determined as follows:

Segment	Profit/(Loss) (₹ in crore)	As absolute % of ₹ 8,280 crore	Reportable segment
A	780	9%	No
B	1,500	18%	Yes
C	(2,300)	28%	Yes
D	(4,500)	54%	Yes
E	6,000	72%	Yes
Total	**1,480**		

Hence B, C, D, E are reportable segments.

8. Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 111 states that "a joint operator shall recognise in relation to its interest in a joint operation:

(a) its assets, including its share of any assets held jointly;

(b) its liabilities, including its share of any liabilities incurred jointly;

(c) its revenue from the sale of its share of the output arising from the joint operation;

(d) its share of the revenue from the sale of the output by the joint operation; and

(e) its expenses, including its share of any expenses incurred jointly."

The rights and obligations, as specified in the contractual arrangement, that an entity has with respect to the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses relating to a joint operation might differ from its ownership interest in the joint operation. Thus a joint operator needs to recognise its interest in the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation on the basis (bases) specified in the contractual arrangement, rather than in proportion of its ownership interest in the joint operation.

Thus, AB Limited would record the following in its financial statements, to account for its rights to the assets of PQR and its obligations for the liabilities of PQR.

	Rs. in crore
Assets	
Cash	20
Building 1*	240
Building 2	100
Liabilities	
Debt owned to XYZ (third party)**	240
Employees benefit plan obligation	50

* Since AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1, it records the amount in its entirety.

** AB Limited has obligation for the debt owed by PQR to XYZ in its entirety.

9. Carrying amount of asset on 31st March 20X6 = ₹ 6,60,000

Calculation of Value in Use:

Year ended	Cash flow ₹	Discount factor @ 9%	Amount ₹
31st March, 20X7	2,76,000	0.9174	2,53,202
31st March, 20X8	1,92,000	0.8417	1,61,606
31st March, 20X9	1,20,000	0.7722	92,664
31st March, 20Y0	1,14,000	0.7084	80,758
		Total (Value in Use)	**5,88,230**

Calculation of Recoverable amount:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Value in use	5,88,230
Fair value less costs of disposal (6,00,000 – 96,000)	5,04,000
Recoverable amount (Higher of value in use and fair value less costs of disposal)	5,88,230

Calculation of Impairment loss:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Recoverable amount	(5,88,230)
Impairment loss	71,770

Calculation of Revised carrying amount:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Carrying amount	6,60,000
Less: Impairment loss	(71,770)
Revised carrying amount	5,88,230

Calculation of Revised Depreciation:

Revised carrying amount – Residual value

Remaining life = (5,88,230 - 0) / 4 = ₹ 1,47,058 per annum

Set off of Impairment loss:

The impairment loss of ₹ 71,770 must first be set off against any revaluation surplus in relation to the same asset. Therefore, the revaluation surplus of ₹ 36,000 is eliminated against impairment loss, and the remainder of the impairment loss ₹ 35,770 (₹ 71,770 – ₹ 36,000) is charged to profit and loss.

Treatment of Government compensation:

Any compensation by government would be accounted for as such when it becomes receivable. At this time, the government has only stated that it may reimburse the company and therefore credit should not be taken for any potential government receipt.

10. The preference shares provide the holder with the right to receive a predetermined amount of annual dividend out of profits of the company, together with a fixed amount on redemption.

Whilst the legal form is equity, the shares are in substance debt. The fixed level of dividend is interest and the redemption amount is equivalent to the repayment of a loan.

Under Ind AS 32 'Financial Instruments: Presentation' these instruments should be classified as financial liabilities because there is a contractual obligation to deliver cash. The preference shares should be accounted for at amortised cost using the effective interest rate of 18%.

Year	1 April, 20X5 ₹	Interest @18% ₹	Paid at 4% ₹	31 March, 20X6 ₹
20X5-20X6	480,000	86,400	(19,200)	547,200

Accordingly, the closing balance of Preference shares at year end i.e. 31st March, 20X6 would be ₹ 5,47,200.

Accountant has inadvertently debited interest of ₹ 19,200 in the profit and loss. However, the interest of ₹ 86,400 should have been debited to profit and loss as finance charge.

Similarly, amount of ₹ 5,47,200 should be included in borrowings (non-current liabilities) and consequently, Equity should be reduced by ₹ 480,000 proceeds of issue and ₹ 67,200 (86,400 – 19,200) i.e. total by 5,47,200.

Necessary adjusting journal entry to rectify the books of accounts will be:

		₹	₹
Preference share capital (equity) (Balance sheet)	Dr.	4,80,000	
Finance costs (Profit and loss)	Dr.	86,400	
To Equity – Retained earnings (Balance sheet)			19,200
To Preference shares (Long-term Borrowings) (Balance sheet)			5,47,200

11. Ind AS 38 'Intangible Assets' requires an intangible asset to be recognised if, and only if, certain criteria are met. Regulatory approval on 1 June 20X5 was the last criterion to be met, the other criteria have been met as follows:

- Intention to complete the asset is apparent as it is a major project with full support from board
- Finance is available as resources are focused on project
- Costs can be reliably measured
- Benefits are expected to exceed costs – (in 2 years)

Amount of ₹ 15,00,000 (₹ 18,00,000 x 10/12) should be capitalised in the Balance sheet of year ending 20X5-20X6 representing expenditure since 1 June 20X5.

The expenditure incurred prior to 1 June 20X5 which is ₹ 3,00,000 (2/12 x ₹ 18,00,000) should be recognised as an expense, retrospective recognition of expense as an asset is not allowed.

Ind AS 36 'Impairment of assets' requires an intangible asset not yet available for use to be tested for impairment annually.

Cash flow of ₹ 12,00,000 in perpetuity would clearly have a present value in excess of ₹ 12,00,000 and hence there would be no impairment. However, the research director is technically qualified, so impairment tests should be based on her estimate of a four-year remaining life and so present value of the future cost savings of ₹ 9,60,000 should be considered in that case.

₹ 9,60,000 is greater than the offer received (fair value less costs to sell) of ₹ 7,80,000 and so ₹ 9,60,000 should be used as the recoverable amount.

So, the carrying amount should be consequently reduced to ₹ 9,60,000.

Calculation of Impairment loss:

Particulars	**Amount ₹**
Carrying amount (Restated)	15,00,000
Less: Recoverable amount	9,60,000
Impairment loss	5,40,000

Impairment loss of ₹ 5,40,000 is to be recognised in the profit and loss for the year 20X5-20X6.

Necessary adjusting entry to correct books of account will be:

		₹	₹
Operating expenses- Development expenditure	Dr.	3,00,000	
Operating expenses–Impairment loss of intangible assets	Dr.	5,40,000	
To Intangible assets – Development expenditure			8,40,000

12. Reconciliation of Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation

	Plan Assets ₹	**Defined benefit obligation ₹**
Fair value/present value as at 1st April 20X1	20,40,000	21,25,000
Interest @ 5%	1,02,000	1,06,250
Current service cost		5,10,000
Contributions received	4,25,000	-
Benefits paid	(2,55,000)	(2,55,000)
Return on gain (assets) (balancing figure)	68,000	-
Actuarial Loss (balancing figure)	-	2,33,750
Closing balance as at March 31,20X2	23,80,000	27,20,000

In the Statement of Profit and loss, the following will be recognised:

	₹
Current service cost	5,10,000
Net interest on net defined liability (₹ 1,06,250 – ₹ 1,02,000)	4,250

Defined benefit re-measurements recognised in Other Comprehensive Income:

	₹
Loss on defined benefit obligation	(2,33,750)
Gain on plan assets	68,000
	(1,65,750)

In the Balance sheet, the following will be recognised :

	₹
Net defined liability (₹ 27,20,000 – ₹ 23,80,000)	3,40,000

13. Accounting treatment for:

1. First Grant

The first grant for 'Clear River Project' involving research into effects of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh, seems to be unconditional as no details regarding its refund has been mentioned. Even though the research has not been started nor any major steps have been completed by Rainbow Limited to commence the research, yet the grant will be recognised immediately in profit or loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X2.

Alternatively, in case, the grant is conditional as to expenditure on research, the grant will be recognised in the books of Rainbow Limited over the year the expenditure is being incurred.

2. Second Grant

The second grant related to commercial development of a new equipment is a grant related to depreciable asset. As per the information given in the question, the equipment will be available for sale in the market from April, 20X3. Hence, by that time, grant relates to the construction of an asset and should be initially recognised as deferred income.

The deferred income should be recognised as income on a systematic and rational basis over the asset's useful life.

The entity should recognise a liability on the balance sheet for the years ending 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3. Once the equipment starts being used in the manufacturing process, the deferred grant income of ₹ 100,000 should be recognised over the asset's useful life to compensate for depreciation costs.

Alternatively, as per Ind AS 20, Rainbow Limited would also be permitted to offset the deferred income of ₹ 100,000 against the cost of the equipment as on 1st April, 20X3.

3. For flood related compensation

Rainbow Limited will be able to submit an application form only after 31st May, 20X2 ie in the year 20X2-20X3. Although flood happened in September, 20X1 and loss was incurred due to flood related to the year 20X1-20X2, the entity should recognise the income from the government grant in the year when the application form related to it is submitted and approved by the government for compensation.

Since, in the year 20X1-20X2, the application form could not be submitted due to adoption of financials with respect to sales figure before flood occurred, Rainbow Limited should not recognise the grant income as it has not become receivable as on 31st March,20X2.

14. As per paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110, an entity that is a parent shall present consolidated financial statements. This Ind AS applies to all entities, except as follows:

A parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it meets all the following conditions:

(i) it is a wholly-owned subsidiary or is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements;

(ii) its debt or equity instruments are not traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets);

(iii) it did not file, nor is it in the process of filing, its financial statements with a securities commission or other regulatory organisation for the purpose of issuing any class of instruments in a public market; and

(iv) its ultimate or any intermediate parent produces financial statements that are available for public use and comply with Ind ASs, in which subsidiaries are consolidated or are measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with this Ind AS.

In accordance with the above, it may be noted that as per paragraph 4(a)(i) above, a parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it is a:

— wholly-owned subsidiary; or

— is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements.

Although GD Limited is a partly-owned subsidiary of G Limited, it is the wholly-owned subsidiary of Gamma Limited (and therefore satisfies the condition 4(a)(i) of Ind AS 110 without regard to the relationship with its immediate owners, i.e. G Limited and D Limited). Thus, GD Limited being the wholly owned subsidiary fulfils the conditions as mentioned under paragraph 4(a)(i) and is not required to inform its other owner D Limited of its intention not to prepare the consolidated financial statements.

Thus, in accordance with the above, GD Limited may take the exemption given under paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110 from presentation of consolidated financial statements.

In Alternative Scenario, where both G Limited and D Limited are owned by an individual Mr. X, then GD Limited is ultimately wholly in control of Mr. X (i.e., an individual) and hence it cannot be considered as a wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

This is because Ind AS 110 makes use of the term 'entity' and the word 'entity' includes a company as well as any other form of entity. Since, Mr. X is an 'individual' and not an 'entity', therefore, GD Limited cannot be considered as wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

Therefore, in the given case, GD Limited is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity. Accordingly, in order to avail the exemption under paragraph 4(a), its other owner, D Limited should be informed about and do not object to GD Limited not presenting consolidated financial statements. Further, for the purpose of consolidation of G Limited and D Limited, GD Limited will be required to provide relevant financial information as per Ind AS.

15. Calculation of the liability and equity components on 6% Convertible debentures:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 4th year (₹ 1,80,000 thousand x 0.74)

= ₹ 1,33,200 thousand

Present value of interest payable annually for 4 years (₹ 1,80,000 thousand x 6% x 3.31)

= ₹ 35,748 thousand

Total liability component = ₹ 1,68,948 thousand

Therefore, equity component = ₹ 1,80,000 thousand – ₹ 1,68,948 thousand = ₹ 11,052 thousand

Calculation of finance cost and closing balance of 6% convertible debentures

Year	Opening balance ₹ in '000	Finance cost @ 8% ₹ in '000	Interest paid @ 6% ₹ in '000	Closing balance ₹ in '000
	a	b = a x 8%	c	d = a + b - c
31.3.20X2	1,68,948	13,515.84	10,800	1,71,663.84
31.3.20X3	1,71,663.84	13,733.11	10,800	1,74,596.95

Finance cost of convertible debentures for the year ended 31.3. 20X3 is ₹ **13,733.11 thousand** and closing balance as on 31.3. 20X3 is ₹ **1,74,596.95** thousand.

Calculation of Basic EPS **₹ in '000**

Profit for the year	39,000
Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 thousand x ₹ 0.05)	(4,000)
Profit attributable to equity shareholders	35,000

Weighted average number of shares = 20,00,00,000 + {5,00,00,000 x (9/12)}

= 23,75,00,000 shares or 2,37,500 thousand shares

Basic EPS = ₹ 35,000 thousand / 2,37,500 thousand shares

= ₹ 0.147

Calculation of Diluted EPS **₹ in '000**

Profit for the year		39,000
Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 x 0.05)		(4,000)
		35,000
Add: Finance cost (as given in the above table)	13,733.11	
Less: Tax @ 25%	(3,433.28)	10,299.83
		45,299.83

Weighted average number of shares = 20,00,00,000 + {5,00,00,000 x (9/12)} + 10,00,00,000

= 33,75,00,000 shares or 3,37,500 thousand shares

Diluted EPS = ₹ 45,299.83 thousand / 3,37,500 thousand shares

= ₹ 0.134

16. As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors', errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity's financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.

Accordingly, the stated issues in question are to dealt as under:

Issue 1

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of liabilities from non-current to current would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 20X3, the comparative amounts as at 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements, if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period. Accordingly, the entity should present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period, i.e., as at 1 April 20X1 in addition to the comparatives for the financial year 20X1-20X2.

Issue 2

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31 March, 20X3, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1 April 20X1). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

17. Initial carrying amount of loan in books

Loan amount received	=	60,00,000 FCY
Less: Incremental issue costs	=	2,00,000 FCY
		58,00,000 FCY

Ind AS 21, "The Effect of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates" states that foreign currency transactions are initially recorded at the rate of exchange in force when the transaction was first recognized.

Loan to be converted in INR	=	58,00,000 FCY x ₹ 2.50/FCY
	=	₹ 1,45,00,000

Therefore, the loan would initially be recorded at ₹ 1,45,00,000.

Calculation of amortized cost of loan (in FCY) at the year end:

Period	Opening Financial Liability (FCY) A	Interest @ 12% (FCY) B	Cash Flow (FCY) C	Closing Financial Liability (FCY) A+B-C
20X1-20X2	58,00,000	6,96,000	6,00,000	58,96,000

The finance cost in FCY is 6,96,000

The finance cost would be recorded at an average rate for the period since it accrues over a period of time.

Hence, the finance cost for FY 20X1-20X2 in INR is ₹ 16,84,320 (6,96,000 FCY x ₹ 2.42 / FCY)

The actual payment of interest would be recorded at 6,00,000 x 2.75 = INR 16,50,000

The loan balance is a monetary item so it is translated at the rate of exchange at the reporting date.

So the closing loan balance in INR is 58,96,000 FCY x INR 2.75 / FCY = ₹ 1,62,14,000

The exchange differences that are created by this treatment are recognized in profit and loss.

In this case, the exchange difference is

₹ [1,62,14,000 - (1,45,00,000 + 16,84,320 – 16,50,000)] = ₹ 16,79,680.

This exchange difference is taken to profit and loss.

18. **(a)** Entity I is likely to provide a price concession and accept an amount less than ₹ 2 million in exchange for the machinery. The consideration is therefore variable. The transaction price in this arrangement is ₹ 1.75 million, as this is the amount which entity I expects to receive after providing the concession and it is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. Entity I can also conclude that the collectability threshold is met for ₹ 1.75 million and therefore contract exists.

(b) The transaction price is ₹ 90 per container based on entity J's estimate of total sales volume for the year, since the estimated cumulative sales volume of 2.8 million containers would result in a price per container of ₹ 90. Entity J concludes that based on a transaction price of ₹ 90 per container, it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved. Revenue is therefore recognised at a selling price of ₹ 90 per container as each container is sold. Entity J will recognise a liability for cash received in excess of the transaction price for the first 1 million containers sold at ₹ 100 per container (that is, ₹ 10 per container) until the cumulative sales volume is reached for the next pricing tier and the price is retroactively reduced.

For the quarter ended 31st March, 20X8, entity J recognizes revenue of ₹ 63 million (700,000 containers x ₹ 90) and a liability of ₹ 7 million [700,000 containers x (₹ 100 - ₹ 90)].

Entity J will update its estimate of the total sales volume at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

(c) Entity K records sales to the retailer at a transaction price of ₹ 47.50 (₹ 50 less 25% of ₹ 10). The difference between the per unit cash selling price to the retailers and the transaction price is recorded as a liability for cash consideration expected to be paid to the end customer. Entity K will update its estimate of the rebate and the transaction price at each reporting date if estimates of redemption rates change.

(d) The transaction price is ₹ 950, because the expected reimbursement is ₹ 50. The expected payment to the retailer is reflected in the transaction price at contract inception, as that is the amount of consideration to which the manufacturer expects to be entitled after the price protection. The manufacturer will recognise a liability for the difference between the invoice price and the transaction price, as this represents the cash that it expects to refund to the retailer. The manufacturer will update its estimate of expected reimbursement at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

19. The amount recognized as an expense in each year and as a liability at each year end) is as follows:

Year	*Expense* ₹	*Liability* ₹	Calculation of Liability
31 December 20X5	2,16,000	2,16,000	= 36 x 1,000 x 12 x ½
31 December 20X6	72,000	2,88,000	= 36 x 1,000 x 8
31 December 20X7	1,62,000*	3,90,000	= 30 x 1,000 x 13
31 December 20X8	(30,000)**	0	Liability extinguished

* Expense comprises an increase in the liability of ₹ 102,000 and cash paid to those exercising their SARs of ₹ 60,000 (6 x 1,000 x 10).

** Difference of opening liability (₹ 3,90,000) and actual liability paid [₹ 3,60,000 (30 x 1,000 x 12)] is recognised to Profit and loss ie ₹ 30,000.

Journal Entries

31 December 20X5			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	2,16,000	
To Share based payment liability			2,16,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			

31 December 20X6			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	72,000	
To Share based payment liability			72,000
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured)			
31 December 20X7			
Employee benefits expenses	Dr.	1,62,000	
To Share based payment liability			1,62,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	60,000	
To Cash			60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			
31 December 20X8			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	30,000	
To Employee benefits expenses			30,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)			
Share based payment liability	Dr.	3,60,000	
To Cash			3,60,000
(Settlement of SAR)			

Note: Last two entries can be combined.

20. Ind AS 32, *'Financial Instruments: Presentation'*, requires an entity to split a compound financial instrument at inception into separate liability and equity components. If the liability component is no longer outstanding, retrospective application of Ind AS 32 would involve separating two portions of equity. The first portion is recognised in retained earnings and represents the cumulative interest accreted on the liability component. The other portion represents the original equity component. However, in accordance with Ind AS 101, a first-time adopter need not separate these two portions if the liability component is no longer outstanding at the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the present case, since the liability is outstanding on the date of transition, Sigma Ltd. will need to split the convertible debentures into debt and equity portion on the date of transition. Accordingly, we will first measure the liability component by discounting the contractually determined stream of future cash flows (interest and principal) to present value by using the discount rate of 10% p.a. (being the market interest rate for similar debentures with no conversion option).

	₹
Interest payments p.a. on each debenture	6
Present Value (PV) of interest payment on each debenture for years 1 to 4 (6 x 3.17) (Note 1)	19.02
PV of principal repayment on each debenture (including premium) 110 x 0.68 (Note 2)	74.80
Total liability component on each debenture (A)	93.82
Total equity component per debenture (Balancing figure) (B) = (C) – (A)	6.18
Face value per debenture (C)	100.00
Equity component per debenture	6.18
Total equity component for 30,000 debentures	1,85,400
Total debt amount (30,000 x 93.82)	28,14,600

Thus, on the date of transition, the amount of ₹ 30,00,000 being the amount of debentures will be split as under:

Debt	₹ 28,14,600
Equity	₹ 1,85,400

Notes:

1. 3.17 is annuity factor of present value of Re. 1 at a discount rate of 10% for 4 years.
2. On maturity, ₹ 110 will be paid (₹ 100 as principal payment + ₹ 10 as premium)